



Sacramento County District Attorney's Office

THIEN HO  
District Attorney

**DATE: March 2, 2026**

**TO: Eric Jones, Deputy County Executive**

**CC: Youa Xiong, CEO Management Analyst**

**FROM: Thien Ho, District Attorney**

**SUBJECT: District Attorney's Office Letter of Justification  
Fiscal Year FY 2026-27**

### **Overview of the Budget Unit**

The District Attorney (DA), an elected official, prosecutes criminal violations of state and local laws, advises the Grand Jury on criminal matters, and operates the Forensic Crime Lab. The DA's Office investigates crimes, gathers evidence, and prepares cases in coordination with law enforcement agencies. It also represents community interests in prosecutions related to consumer and environmental protection and real estate fraud.

Programs include:

- Administration & Support Services – Executive management, general administration, IT, and Family Justice Center support.
- Civil Prosecution – Asset Forfeiture and Consumer and Environmental protection.
- Criminal Prosecution – Specialized units for homicide, gangs, narcotics, fraud, domestic violence, human trafficking, elder abuse, and other areas.
- Forensic Crime Lab – Biology/DNA, chemistry, toxicology, and evidence analysis.
- Investigations Bureau – Investigator teams and process serving.
- Victim Witness Assistance – Victim advocacy, restitution, and services for underserved victims.

Funding sources include County General Fund, state and federal grants, state mandated programs, asset forfeiture revenues, and program-specific fees and fines.

### **FY 2025-26 Year-End Estimates:**

The year-end estimate for Salaries and Benefits is projected to be approximately \$1.6 million below the Adopted Budget. This variance results from the use of retired annuitants and temporary-help attorneys to support positions that are difficult to recruit. These classifications do not incur standard benefit costs and may only be utilized on a temporary basis, making them a short-term staffing solution.

Additionally, the Office has been unable to fully staff limited-term positions. Due to the two-month background process, many candidates secure permanent positions with other agencies before onboarding can be completed, contributing further to salary savings.

The assignment of temporary staff to programs such as Asset Forfeiture and Consumer and Environmental Protection impacts associated funding patterns. As a result, Intrafund Reimbursements are projected to decrease by approximately \$435,000, and revenue is projected to decrease by approximately \$700,000.

### **FY 2026-27 Requested Base Budget:**

**Total Appropriations, including intrafund reimbursements,** have decreased by \$700,000 (0.005%) due to:

This net change reflects several offsetting adjustments within the major cost categories. Salaries have increased by \$4.2 million due to new union contracts; however, this increase is offset by a \$3.9 million reduction in Public Obligation Bond (POB) costs, a \$165,000 decrease in Premium Pay, and an increase of \$185,000 in the salary-savings requirement.

Services and Supplies have decreased by \$480,000. This increase is primarily due to higher building lease costs of \$200,000, increases in allocated costs such as liability insurance of \$260,000, and a \$10,000 increase related to surplus property.

**Total Revenue, including interfund reimbursements,** has decreased by \$240,000 (0.006%) due to:

This net change reflects several offsetting adjustments within revenue categories. Semi-discretionary revenue has increased by \$1.6 million; however, this increase is offset by a \$700,000 reduction in restricted revenue from Auto Theft and Asset Forfeiture programs and a \$1.2 million decrease in largely one-time grant funding.

**Net County Cost** has decreased by \$475,000 (0.005%) due to:

This change reflects the combined impact of decreases in Total Appropriations, including intrafund reimbursements, and decreases in Total Revenue, including interfund reimbursements, as detailed in the sections above.

To meet the Net County Cost and Semi-Discretionary Revenue Target of \$79,000,000, 30 impactful position reductions totaling \$8,000,000 are needed, as identified below.

The District Attorney's Office is submitting a base budget that reflects current service levels and operational needs to the County Executive Office and Budget Office. As part of the County's fiscal directives, the Office has been asked to reduce Net County Cost (NCC) by \$8 million. Because the Criminal Prosecution Program and the Investigations Bureau are primarily funded with NCC, the required reduction must be absorbed within those areas. To meet this target, the Office will implement a hiring freeze and, through attrition, hold open 21 Deputy District Attorney positions and 10 Criminal Investigator positions. These vacancies will occur in the Misdemeanor Units, the unfunded Community Prosecution Unit, the Felony Teams, and the Major Narcotics Unit.

While the District Attorney's Office year-over-year NCC appears flat, the assigned NCC reduction target reflects the reduction of Pension Obligation Bond (POB) savings and additional semi-discretionary revenue from the base calculation. The target also does not include funding to offset increased personnel costs associated with new union Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) agreements and related incentives, including the General Salary Increase (GSI) and expanded eligibility for 401(a) matches approved by the Board of Supervisors.

These reductions will significantly impact the Office's ability to maintain current prosecution levels and respond to public safety needs. The Misdemeanor Units handled over 15,500 cases in 2025; holding positions vacant will slow case processing and reduce accountability for lower-level offenses. In the felony and narcotics areas, reduced staffing will limit the Office's ability to prosecute drug and theft offenses under Proposition 36 and will undermine efforts to combat Sacramento County's fentanyl crisis, including collaboration with the SAC-FORCE task force investigating overdose deaths. Remaining attorneys and investigators will face increased caseloads, leading to delays in case resolution and additional strain on law enforcement, the courts, public health, and social service partners. Maintaining adequate staffing in these units is critical to ensuring effective investigations, timely prosecutions, and the continued protection of public safety in Sacramento County.

The department has submitted one (1) growth request with \$0 in total new appropriations, \$0 in total revenue, and \$0 in total additional Net County Cost.

### **Future Needs, if applicable**

A significant future need not included in the current growth requests is the anticipated relocation of the District Attorney's Office, expected to occur in July 2027. The move will require an estimated \$2.1 million for furniture, equipment, and related relocation costs. These expenditures will be necessary to support continuity of operations and ensure that functional workspace standards are maintained following the transition.

### **Attachments:**

1. Letter of Justification Report 1700 from BFM – ALL Budget Units
2. Reduction Impact Summary Report 1902 from BFM, if applicable
3. Growth Requests Summary Report 1802 from BFM, if applicable
4. COMPASS Position Report ZPCD, if applicable, showing:
  - a. Vacant positions and providing a justification for retaining positions vacant since March 1<sup>st</sup> of two years prior, or earlier, if applicable
  - b. Limited Term positions and identifying the purpose of the positions and the funding source/duration, if applicable

This page is intentionally left blank.

**County Executive**

David Villanueva



**County of Sacramento**

**Board of Supervisors**

Phillip R. Serna, District 1  
Patrick Kennedy, District 2  
Rich Desmond, District 3  
Rosario Rodriguez, District 4  
Pat Hume, District 5

March 17, 2026

Mr. Thien Ho  
District Attorney  
Sacramento County  
901 G Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Subject: Fiscal Year 2026-27 Budget**  
*Delivered via email to hot@sacda.org*

District Attorney Ho,

The County Executive Office has not received a complete budget request for the District Attorney budget unit, which was due on March 2, 2026, as outlined in the Fiscal Year 2026-27 Budget Instructions issued on January 14, 2026. In several follow-up discussions between Deputy County Executive (DCE) Jones and Chief Deputy DA Triplett since March 3, your office has indicated it does not plan to submit a complete request.

Government Code Sections 29040 and 29042, as well as Sacramento County Charter Section 46, require officials to submit budget requests according to County Executive instructions.

Budget requests must include reductions on prescribed forms for any base budget amounts over the target allocation. The District Attorney's requested Net County Cost is \$87,349,268, which is \$8,279,521 above the target, but only \$233,715 in reductions have been submitted.

Letter to District Attorney Ho  
RE: Fiscal Year 2026-27 Budget  
March 17, 2026  
Page 2 of 2

We remain available to assist and await the District Attorney budget request.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "D. Villanueva", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

David Villanueva  
County Executive

c: Board Members, Sacramento County

This page is intentionally left blank.



---

Sacramento County District Attorney's Office

THIEN HO  
District Attorney

March 30, 2026

Mr. David Villanueva  
County Executive  
700H Street, Suite 7650  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject: Fiscal Year 2026-27 Budget Reductions

Mr. Villanueva,

Your office has preliminary recommended a reduction of \$8,279,521 to the District Attorney's budget. These cuts would devastate public safety in our community. Although we have provided the County with the number of positions that would be eliminated as a result of these cuts, your office has requested that we specifically identify the exact positions that would be eliminated.

I have been hesitant to identify the exact positions that would be eliminated by the County's reductions. As you recall from last year, I was reluctant to provide such information fearing the message it would send to the public. And my fears were realized. Last year, after I submitted the reductions as per your budget instructions, public conversations were had by both you and then-Chair of the Board that the reductions to positions were at my request. In my opinion that was misleading and portrayed to the public that the devastating public safety cuts were something I was proposing. You have separately stated that you lack the authority to dictate which exact positions would be eliminated within the District Attorney's Office as if this statement somehow absolves you of the devastating effects of these cuts that you propose. Here is the reality. Forcing me to make the cuts while claiming that I get to choose where to make the cuts is like forcing a pilot to make the airplane lighter and lighter. At some point, the plane will stop working, and lives will be at stake.

Here we are again in a new budget cycle with a similar request from the County. My concerns are unchanged. Please note that I will make it clear these cuts are at your request, not mine.

//

//

Public safety is a top concern for citizens in this County. The elimination of these positions is something that I vehemently oppose as they would have long-lasting and devastating effects to the safety of our community.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Thien Ho", followed by a stylized circular flourish or symbol.

Thien Ho  
District Attorney

County of Sacramento  
Fiscal Year 2026-27  
1700 - Letter of Justification (Requested Budget) - Budget Unit Total

<b>Fund Type:</b>	GENERAL FUND			<b>BU No:</b>	5800000				
<b>Agency:</b>	ELECTED OFFICIALS			<b>BU Name:</b>	District Attorney				
	2024-25 Actuals	2025-26 Year-End Estimate	2025-26 Adopted Budget	2026-27 Requested Base	Requested Base vs 2025-26 Adopted Budget	% Change	2026-27 Reductions	2026-27 Funded Base	2026-27 Requested Growth
Salaries & Benefits	105,286,084	109,845,409	111,485,967	111,925,786	439,819	0%	(8,454,986)	103,470,800	144,003
Services & Supplies	16,606,098	17,610,441	17,733,454	17,406,918	(326,536)	(2%)	(13,151)	17,393,767	(144,003)
Other Charges	5,000	45,825	45,825	0	(45,825)	(100%)	0	0	0
Equipment	1,272,218	586,990	587,000	570,000	(17,000)	(3%)	0	570,000	0
Interfund Charges	1,098,995	1,094,143	1,094,143	1,094,032	(111)	(0%)	0	1,094,032	0
Intrafund Charges	1,556,990	1,694,680	1,746,623	1,720,035	(26,588)	(2%)	(72,766)	1,647,269	0
<b>Gross Expenditures/ Appropriations</b>	<b>125,825,386</b>	<b>130,877,488</b>	<b>132,693,012</b>	<b>132,716,771</b>	<b>23,759</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>(8,540,903)</b>	<b>124,175,868</b>	<b>0</b>
Other Intrafund Reimbursements	(1,164,040)	(1,082,291)	(1,520,536)	(1,511,518)	9,018	(1%)	58,028	(1,453,490)	0
Intrafund Reimbursements within	(55,106)	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0
<b>Total Intrafund Reimbursements</b>	<b>(1,219,146)</b>	<b>(1,082,291)</b>	<b>(1,520,536)</b>	<b>(1,511,518)</b>	<b>9,018</b>	<b>(1%)</b>	<b>58,028</b>	<b>(1,453,490)</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total Expenditures/ Appropriations</b>	<b>124,606,240</b>	<b>129,795,197</b>	<b>131,172,476</b>	<b>131,205,253</b>	<b>32,777</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>(8,482,875)</b>	<b>122,722,378</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL FINANCING USES</b>	<b>124,606,240</b>	<b>129,795,197</b>	<b>131,172,476</b>	<b>131,205,253</b>	<b>32,777</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>(8,482,875)</b>	<b>122,722,378</b>	<b>0</b>
Fines, Forfeitures & Penalties	296,702	255,739	255,739	262,539	6,800	3%	0	262,539	0
Revenue from Use Of Money & Property	35,468	0	0	41,930	41,930	0%	0	41,930	0
Intergovernmental Revenues	12,884,194	12,079,698	12,791,255	11,588,222	(1,203,033)	(9%)	0	11,588,222	0
Charges for Services	907,377	486,110	483,977	421,142	(62,835)	(13%)	0	421,142	0
Miscellaneous Revenues	(17,452)	9,725	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0
Other Financing Sources	6,156	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0
<b>Revenue</b>	<b>14,112,445</b>	<b>12,831,272</b>	<b>13,530,971</b>	<b>12,313,833</b>	<b>(1,217,138)</b>	<b>(9%)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12,313,833</b>	<b>0</b>
Other Interfund Reimbursements	3,158,475	3,442,518	4,536,858	3,949,523	(587,335)	(13%)	0	3,949,523	0
Semi-Discretionary Reimbursements	24,922,848	26,561,045	25,950,020	27,592,629	1,642,609	6%	0	27,592,629	0
<b>Total Interfund Reimbursements</b>	<b>28,081,322</b>	<b>30,003,563</b>	<b>30,486,878</b>	<b>31,542,152</b>	<b>1,055,274</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>31,542,152</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total Revenue</b>	<b>42,193,767</b>	<b>42,834,835</b>	<b>44,017,849</b>	<b>43,855,985</b>	<b>(161,864)</b>	<b>(0%)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43,855,985</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES</b>	<b>42,193,767</b>	<b>42,834,835</b>	<b>44,017,849</b>	<b>43,855,985</b>	<b>(161,864)</b>	<b>(0%)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43,855,985</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Budget Unit: 5800000 Net Cost</b>	<b>\$82,412,473</b>	<b>\$86,960,362</b>	<b>\$87,154,627</b>	<b>\$87,349,268</b>	<b>\$194,641</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>\$78,866,393</b>	<b>\$0</b>

County of Sacramento  
 Fiscal Year 2026-27

1700 - Letter of Justification (Requested Budget) - Budget Unit Total

<b>Fund Type:</b>	GENERAL FUND	<b>BU No:</b>	5800000
<b>Agency:</b>	ELECTED OFFICIALS	<b>BU Name:</b>	District Attorney

	2024-25 Actuals	2025-26 Year- End Estimate	2025-26 Adopted Budget	2026-27 Requested Base	Requested Base vs 2025-26 Adopted Budget	% Change	2026-27 Reductions	2026-27 Funded Base	2026-27 Requested Growth
Positions	457.0	461.0	461.0	461.0	0.0	0%	(32.0)	429.0	1.0

County of Sacramento  
 1802 - Growth Requests Summary Report  
 Detail (ALL) /by Agency, Department, Fully Funded vs Net Cost

Budget Unit	Budget Unit Name	Rank	BOS Budget Priority	Growth or Base	1X = one-time funding		Request Summary	F/ UNF	Gross Appropriations	Reimbursements			Net Appropriations	Revenues			Retained Earnings/Use of Fund Balance	NET COUNTY COST	Budget FTE	Veh
					Request Name	Form ID				Realignment/ Prop 172	Other Interfund Reim	Intrafund Reim		Federal	State	Fees/Other				

**AGENCY: ELECTED OFFICIALS**

**DEPARTMENT: District Attorney**

> **FULLY FUNDED**

> **District Attorney (Budget Unit)**

5800000	District Attorney	0		Base	DA - Mid-Year SRA 2026-084A	29535		F	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0	0
<b>District Attorney (Budget Unit) - FULLY FUNDED Total</b>									<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>

> **WITH NET COUNTY COST**

> **District Attorney (Budget Unit)**

5800000	District Attorney	1		Growth	DA - Add 1.0 FTE IT Infrastructure Analyst Lv II	29330	Add 1.0 FTE IT Infrastructure Analyst II in the Sacramento County District Attorney's Office to maintain and secure critical IT systems including servers, virtual environments, storage, Active Directory, and networks. These mission-critical systems require continuous monitoring, proactive maintenance, and compliance with cybersecurity standards. Current staffing is insufficient, creating risk and inefficiencies. The position will provide server administration, patching, disaster recovery readiness, identity management, and network security while ensuring audit compliance and best practices for access control. Cyber threats to government entities continue to grow, making this role vital to reducing risk. This ongoing need will be offset by eliminating contractor costs, resulting in no net County cost. Establishing this position mitigates outages, breaches, and compliance failures, supports proactive management, and aligns with County priorities for secure, reliable services and responsible stewardship of public funds.	UNF	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	1.0	0
<b>District Attorney (Budget Unit) - WITH NET COUNTY COST Total</b>									<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENTAL REQUEST: District Attorney</b>									<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>0</b>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	----------

<b>ELECTED OFFICIALS AGENCY TOTAL:</b>									<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>0</b>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	----------

<b>GENERAL FUND TOTAL:</b>									<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>REPORT TOTAL:</b>									<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>0</b>

County of Sacramento  
1902 - Reduction Impact Summary Report  
Detail (ALL)

Budget Unit	Budget Unit Name	Rank	Reduction or Base	Categorical	Request Name	Form ID	Request Summary	Gross Appropriations	Reimbursements			Net Appropriations	Revenues			NET COST	Budget FTE
									Realignment/ Prop 172	Other Interfund Reim	Intrafund Reim		Federal	State	Fees/Other		

**AGENCY: ELECTED OFFICIALS**

**DEPARTMENT: District Attorney**

**> District Attorney (Budget Unit)**

5800000	District Attorney	1	Reduction		DA - Delete 1.0 FTE Limited Term Criminalist Lv 2	29425	Delete 1.0 FTE vacant Limited Term Criminalist Level II position due to the expiration of California Highway Patrol (CHP) grant funding that fully supported the position. The position is vacant. The grant has concluded and there is no alternative funding source available. Elimination of this limited term position does not impact ongoing or mandated services and has no impact to other departments.	(\$172,798)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$172,798)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$172,798)	(1.0)
5800000	District Attorney	2	Reduction		DA - Reduce Family Justice Center Contributions	29428	Reduce 2.5% contribution to Family Justice Center (FJC) in the amount of \$2,889.	(\$2,889)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$2,889)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$2,889)	0.0
5800000	District Attorney	3	Reduction		DA - Delete 1.0 FTE Principal Criminal Attorney & 3.0 FTEs Attorney Lv 5 Positions - Community Prosecution	29518	In order to meet the Net County Cost target imposed by the County, the District Attorney's Office will be forced to eliminate 1.0 FTE Principal Criminal Attorney and 3.0 FTE Attorney Lv. 5 positions from the Community Prosecution Unit (CPU). Positions are filled with no vacant positions of this classification in the Office. These reductions would eliminate a proactive legal resource dedicated to crime prevention and public safety initiatives. CPU attorneys work directly with law enforcement, local government, and community organizations to address issues such as homelessness, nuisance properties, and quality-of-life concerns. Importantly, they solve problems before they escalate into larger public safety threats. Their removal would sever key partnerships and shift additional burdens onto traditional prosecutors and law enforcement, limiting their ability to respond to broader criminal activity. Other departments, including code enforcement and public safety agencies, would struggle to address ongoing community concerns effectively. Maintaining these positions is critical to ensuring collaborative crime prevention, community accountability, and public safety in Sacramento County.	(\$1,372,072)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,372,072)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,372,072)	(4.0)

County of Sacramento  
 1902 - Reduction Impact Summary Report  
 Detail (ALL)

Budget Unit	Budget Unit Name	Rank	Reduction or Base	Categorical	Request Name	Form ID	Request Summary	Reimbursements				Net Appropriations	Revenues			NET COST	Budget FTE
								Gross Appropriations	Realignment/ Prop 172	Other Interfund Reim	Intrafund Reim		Federal	State	Fees/Other		
5800000	District Attorney	4	Reduction		DA - Delete 7.0 FTEs Attorneys & 3.0 FTEs Criminal Investigator Lv 2 Positions - Misdemeanors	29553	In order to meet the Net County Cost target imposed by the County, the District Attorney's Office will be forced to eliminate 4.0 FTE Attorney Lv. 1 positions, 2.0 FTE Attorney Lv. 4 positions, and 1.0 FTE Attorney Lv. 5 positions from the Misdemeanor Trial and Misdemeanor Court Review Units and 3.0 FTE Criminal Investigator Lv. 2 positions that support misdemeanor operations. Positions are filled with no vacant positions of this classification in the Office. Restoring funding for these positions in the criminal misdemeanor units is critical. In 2025, these units handled approximately 15,000 cases. Eliminating these 10 positions would effectively remove all capacity to prosecute misdemeanor cases, leading to increased crime and weakened law enforcement efforts. The loss of these attorneys and investigators would slow case processing, disrupt court proceedings, and reduce accountability for offenses, thereby deteriorating public safety and the quality of life in the county. Other departments, including the Sheriff, local police and social services, would bear the strain of unprosecuted crimes. Maintaining these positions is critical to ensuring public safety, supporting justice initiatives, and preserving the integrity of Sacramento's legal system.  Note: 8.0 FTE positions are in the Criminal Prosecution program and 2.0 FTE positions are in the Investigations Bureau program.	(\$2,536,392)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$2,536,392)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$2,536,392)	(10.0)
5800000	District Attorney	5	Reduction		DA - Delete 6.0 FTEs Attorney Lv 1 & 3.0 FTEs Criminal Investigator Lv 2 Positions - Felony Team	29519	In order to meet the Net County Cost target imposed by the County, the District Attorney's Office will be forced to eliminate 6.0 FTE Attorney Lv 1 positions from the general Felony Teams and 3.0 FTE Criminal Investigator positions that support felony operations. Positions are filled with no vacant positions of this classification in the Office. Deleting these positions would eliminate the ability to prosecute drug and theft offenses under Proposition 36, leading to increased crime and diminished public safety in Sacramento. These offenses require dedicated legal oversight to ensure appropriate sentencing and rehabilitation. Without these positions, remaining attorneys and investigative staff would be overburdened, delaying justice and weakening felony case management. Other departments, including the Sheriff and local law enforcement, courts, and social services, would face significant challenges as recidivism rises and case processing slows. Maintaining these positions is vital to upholding the law, ensuring public safety, and effectively managing felony prosecutions in the county.	(\$1,974,595)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,974,595)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,974,595)	(9.0)

County of Sacramento  
 1902 - Reduction Impact Summary Report  
 Detail (ALL)

Budget Unit	Budget Unit Name	Rank	Reduction or Base	Categorical	Request Name	Form ID	Request Summary	Reimbursements				Revenues			NET COST	Budget FTE	
								Gross Appropriations	Realignment/ Prop 172	Other Interfund Reim	Intrafund Reim	Net Appropriations	Federal	State			Fees/Other
5800000	District Attorney	6	Reduction		DA - Delete 4.0 FTEs Attorneys & 4.0 FTEs Criminal Investigator Lv 2 Positions - Major Narcotics /Crimes	29552	In order to meet the Net County Cost target imposed by the County, the District Attorney's Office will be forced to eliminate 2.0 FTE Principal Criminal Attorney positions, 1.0 FTE Attorney Lv. 5, 1.0 FTE Attorney Lv. 4, and 1.0 FTE Criminal Investigator Lv. 2 positions from the Narcotics/Fentanyl Homicide Unit and 3.0 FTE Criminal Investigator Lv. 2 positions from Major Crimes investigations. Positions are filled with no vacant positions of this classification in the Office. Deleting these positions would significantly undermine Sacramento County's ability to combat its fentanyl overdose crisis and violent crime. These positions are crucial for prosecuting narcotics crimes including related homicides, targeting drug dealers, and supporting overdose victims and their families. The SAC-FORCE task force, which investigates fentanyl-related deaths, would lose critical personnel, reducing collaboration with law enforcement. Other departments, including public health and emergency services, would face increased burdens as drug-related cases go unaddressed. These positions are essential to ensuring effective investigations, successful prosecutions, and a comprehensive approach to fighting Sacramento's fentanyl crisis, ultimately saving lives and protecting the public.	(\$2,399,129)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$2,399,129)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$2,399,129)	(8.0)
5800000	District Attorney	999	Reduction		DA - Reduce DCFAS Reimbursement for FJC Passthrough	29464	Reduce reimbursement amount of \$58,028 for services to the Family Justice Center. DCFAS will no longer contribute to the organization. This request is contingent upon a reduction in the DCFAS budget (BU 7800000).	(\$58,028)	\$0	\$0	\$58,028	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0
5800000	District Attorney	999	Reduction		DA - Reduction of Contribution to SAFE Center in the amount of \$25,000	29541	Reduce contribution of \$25,000 to DCFAS to fund the SAFE Center which will result in law enforcement agencies and social workers not having a central location to investigate crimes against children. This request is contingent upon a reduction in the DCFAS budget (BU 7800000).	(\$25,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$25,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$25,000)	0.0
<b>District Attorney (Budget Unit) - Total</b>								<b>(\$8,540,903)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$58,028</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>(32.0)</b>
<b>TOTAL DEPARTMENTAL REDUCTIONS: District Attorney</b>								<b>(\$8,540,903)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$58,028</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>(32.0)</b>
<b>ELECTED OFFICIALS AGENCY TOTAL:</b>								<b>(\$8,540,903)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$58,028</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>(32.0)</b>
<b>GENERAL FUND TOTAL:</b>								<b>(\$8,540,903)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$58,028</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>(32.0)</b>
<b>REPORT TOTAL:</b>								<b>(\$8,540,903)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$58,028</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>(\$8,482,875)</b>	<b>(32.0)</b>